

Springer Series in Vision Research

Gábor Horváth *Editor*

Polarization Vision and Environmental Polarized Light

Third Edition

MOREMEDIA



Springer

Springer Series in Vision Research

Series Editors

Michael Bok, Biologihuset B
Lund University, Dept of Biology
Lund, Sweden

Fabio Cortesi, School of Biological Sciences
University of Queensland
Brisbane, QLD, Australia

The Springer Series in Vision Research is a comprehensive update and overview of cutting-edge vision research, exploring, in depth, current breakthroughs at a conceptual level. It details the whole visual system, from molecular processes to anatomy, physiology and behavior and covers both invertebrate and vertebrate organisms from terrestrial and aquatic habitats. Each book in the Series is aimed at all individuals with interests in vision including advanced graduate students, post-doctoral researchers, established vision scientists and clinical investigators. The series editors are Michael Bok, Department of Biology and Lund Vision Group, University of Lund, Sweden. and Fabio Cortesi, School of Biological Sciences and Queensland Brain Institute, University of Queensland, Brisbane Australia

Gábor Horváth
Editor

Polarization Vision and Environmental Polarized Light

Third Edition

 Springer

Editor

Gábor Horváth
Environmental Optics Laboratory
Department of Biological Physics
Eötvös University
Budapest, Hungary

ISSN 2625-2635

ISSN 2625-2643 (electronic)

Springer Series in Vision Research

ISBN 978-3-031-62862-7

ISBN 978-3-031-62863-4 (eBook)

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-62863-4>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2004, 2014, 2024

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

If disposing of this product, please recycle the paper.

Preface

Many terrestrial and aquatic animal species (Horváth and Varjú 2004; Sabbah et al. 2005; Horváth 2014; Foster et al. 2018; Heinloth et al. 2018; Shabayek et al. 2018; Yadav and Shein-Idelson 2021) are *polarization sensitive*. These animals can detect/sense linearly—and some of them also circularly—polarized light. Humans are also sensitive to linearly and circularly polarized light, but we do not use this sensorial ability, about which many people do not even know. In contrast, only a few species are able to discriminate between two monochromatic lights of the same intensity but differing only in their angle (direction) and/or degree of linear polarization. This ability is called *true polarization vision* (Bernard and Wehner 1977; Wehner and Labhart 2006).

The monograph of Horváth and Varjú (2004)—the first edition of the comprehensive polarization series of Springer—summarized the knowledge accumulated until 2004 about polarization sensitivity/vision and the polarization characteristics of natural and some artificial surfaces/objects. After 2004, the progress of science in this field was so rapid and significant that in 2014 a further monograph (Horváth 2014) was dedicated for the survey of linearly/circularly polarized light and polarization sensitivity/vision in the animal kingdom, including also human polarization sensitivity. This book focused predominantly on the progress in this topic between 2004 and 2014. Not surprisingly, after 2014 the accumulation of new data and information in this field of natural sciences continued unabatedly, the consequence of which is the birth of the present monograph entitled *Polarization Vision and Environmental Polarized Light* dealing mainly with the progress of this topic between 2014 and 2024.

This monograph is written, among others, by experts of polarization sensitivity/vision in different taxa, from the extinct trilobites, through crustaceans, insects, fishes, amphibians, reptiles, birds, to humans. The subject of this work is twofold: (i) It surveys the polarization sensitivity/vision in animals and humans, the underlying receptor-physiological and neural mechanisms, the biological functions of this sensorial ability, and the polarization-guided behaviour of animals. (ii) It presents numerous natural and artificial polarization patterns measured by imaging polarimetry and occurring in our optical/visual environment.

In *Part I* we summarize the most important results achieved in the last decade about linear and circular polarization sensitivity/vision in trilobites (*Trilobita*), vinegar flies (*Drosophila melanogaster*), bees (Apoidea), ball-rolling dung beetles (Scarabaeidae), desert locusts (*Schistocerca gregaria*), butterflies (Lepidoptera), horseflies (Tabanidae), scarab beetles (Scarabaeidae), springtails (Collembola), yellow fever mosquitoes (*Aedes aegypti*), mayflies (Ephemeroptera), dragonflies and damselflies (Odonates), crustaceans, cephalopods, fishes, amphibians, reptiles, birds, bats, seals (*Phoca vitulina*) and humans.

In *Part II* we treat environmental polarization with implications to polarization sensitivity/vision. First, the polarization signals in crustaceans and insects are surveyed, then the reflection-polarization characteristics of water surfaces are discussed, finally several case studies of polarized light pollution and ecological/evolutionary traps for polarotactic aquatic insects are considered.

The whole *Part III* of this monograph is devoted exclusively to the celestial Viking navigation. This longest part summarizes the knowledge accumulated about this subject in the last decades.

Part IV of this book summarizes the newest literature about the polarization of sun- and moonlit skies, eclipse skies, solar coronas and Kordylewski's dust clouds. This part ends with the novel knowledge gathered in astronomical polarization measured with telescopes mounted by polarization-sensitive cameras.

A novelty of this opus is that one of its chapters is dedicated to the structure, optics and functions of the eyes and vision of extinct trilobites. On the basis of the paleontological, anatomical and paleo-biooptical information accumulated about the visual system of these ancient arthropods, their possible polarization sensitivity is discussed. In the Appendix of this chapter physical optical calculations are presented to support the conclusions drawn about trilobite polarization sensitivity.

This work also surveys the polarization patterns occurring in nature and the man-made optical environment. Compared to the two preceding polarization monographs (Horváth and Varjú 2004; Horváth 2014), the novelty of this survey is that the monitoring of polarization characteristics spreads beyond the Earth's surface and atmosphere, namely, from the Kordylewski's dust clouds along the Moon's orbit, through the lunar/planetary disks and solar corona in the Solar System, up to so distant celestial bodies of the Universe as comets, stars, interstellar dust/molecular clouds, pulsars, magnetars, quasars, blazars, nebulae and accretion disks of black holes. Although these extraterrestrial polarization patterns measured by ground- or satellite-born astronomical imaging polarimetry have no relevance for polarization sensitivity/vision, they are important components of our optical environment, which is not restricted only to the biosphere.

A further specialty of the present treatise is that it contains a "booklet in book" dealing with the solar and sky-polarimetric navigation methods of Viking seafarers reconstructed on the basis of (1) a famous archaeological fragment of a Viking sun-compass, (2) numerous celestial polarization patterns measured by full-sky imaging polarimetry, (3) error functions of the four steps of sky-polarimetric Viking navigation measured in laboratory/planetarium psychophysical experiments, and (4) computer modelling of thousands of Viking voyages simulated by computer softwares

using skypolarization and psychophysical data as input. This chapter reveals the enigma of Viking navigation by means of “experimental archaeological methods”.

Every chapter has its own bibliography listing many important references at the very end. The authors were given a free hand in the structure of their chapter. Some chapters begin with an abstract and end with a summary and outlook, while others finish with conclusions and future directions. A few chapter contains also acknowledgements. On the other hand, several chapters draw conclusions at the end of each section. The chapter dealing with trilobites has also an *Appendix* for the polarization-optical calculations. The chapter of Viking navigation possesses several figures for the *Electronic Supplement*, while the chapter of astronomical polarimetry has *Electronic Supplementary References with Full Author Lists* due to the many (frequently more than 50!) authors of several references.

This book is suggested for scientists interested in animal and human vision, environmental optics, polarized light and polarization sensitivity/vision, including biologists, physiologists, ecologists, physicists, atmospheric scientists, engineers and astronomers.

Dedication

I dedicate this book to Professor Rüdiger Wehner (https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rüdiger_Wehner) on the occasion of his 84th birthday. He was one of my mentors and co-authors. He wrote Chap. 1. *Polarization vision: a discovery story* (Wehner 2014) in the book entitled *Polarized Light and Polarization Vision in Animal Sciences*, being the earlier edition of the present monograph.

Funding

My researches were/are supported by the following grants:

1. 2012–2016 (48 months): Grant OTKA K-105054 (Full-sky imaging polarimetry to detect clouds and to study the meteorological conditions favourable for polarimetric Viking navigation) from the Hungarian Science Foundation, Budapest
2. 2015 (12 months): Grant NKFIH PUB-I 117239 for the textbook: Horváth G., Farkas A., Kriska G. (2016) Environmental optical and biological aspects of the polarized light (in Hungarian, *A poláros fény környezetoptikai és biológiai vonatkozásai*) ELTE Eötvös University Press, Budapest, ISBN 978 963 312 253 2, p. 485
3. 2017–2021 (60 months): Grant NKFIH K-123930 (Experimental study of the functions of zebra stripes: a new thermophysiological explanation) from the National Research, Development and Innovation Office, Budapest

4. 2020–2024 (48 months): Grant KDP-2020-ELTE-1010099 (Designing and applications of a drone-based imaging polarimeter) from the Cooperative Doctoral Program of the National Research, Development and Innovation Office, Budapest
5. 2022–2027 (66 months): Grant HUN-REN-ELTE-0116607 (Construction and application of a portable imaging polarimetric astronomical telescope in areas with favourable astroclimate to study the interplanetary dust, especially the Kordylewski dust clouds) for the Astropolarimetry Research Group of the Eötvös Loránd University from the Hungarian Research Network, Budapest
6. 17 July–17 August 2023: 1st astropolarimetric measurement campaign in Namibia (Isabis Astro Lodge) financed by the Grant HUN-REN-ELTE-0116607

Acknowledgements

I thank the scientists (see the author list) for accepting my invitation to contribute to this monograph with their chapter(s).

Many thanks to the late Csaba Viski and his daughter, Barbara Viski (Szokolya, Hungary) and István Simon (Göd, Hungary), who allowed our horsefly experiments to be conducted on their horse farms.

I am grateful to Mónika Gyurkovszky and Prof. Róbert Farkas (Department of Parasitology and Zoology, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Szent István University, Budapest) for the taxonomic identification of the horsefly species captured in our field experiments.

I am also grateful to my colleagues, co-authors and students for their indispensable contributions to the results summarized in this monograph (in alphabetic order): Susanne Åkesson, András Barta, Balázs Bernáth, Miklós Blahó, Desi-Rae Campbell, Euan N. K. Clarkson, Balázs Csák, László Czinke, Colyer Durovich, Ádám Egri, Alexandra Farkas, Róbert Farkas, Benjamin Fritz, Balázs Geric, Guillaume Gomard, Markus Guttmann, Mónika Gyurkovszky, Ramón Hegedüs, Tibor Hegedüs, Tamás Herczeg, Ian Hetterich, Ruben Hüinig, István Jankovics, Imre Miklós Jánosi, Zoltán Jäger, Isabel A. Keddy-Hector, József Kovács, Balázs Kretzer, György Kriska, Uli Lemmer, Julia Les, Attila Márai, Victor Benno Meyer-Rochow, Ádám Mészáros, Dávid Mihályi, Dóra Nehéz, Károly Penksza, Ádám Pereszlényi, Szabolcs Polgár, István Pomozi, David Pye, Gergely Rác, Bruce A. Robertson, Pál Sári, Judit Slíz-Balogh, Marc Schneider, Brigitte Schoenemann, Shailab D. Shrestha, Leah Y. Silverberg, Gyula Szabó, Dénes Száz, József Szekeres, Szilvia Szilasi, Tamás Szörényi, Péter Takács, Nikolett Tarjányi, Tímea Tóth, Miklós Vincze, Clara E. Woolner.

Special thanks are to Dr. András Barta (Estrato Research and Development Ltd. Budapest) for producing the electro-mechanical equipment used in the Hungarian laboratory, planetarium and field experiments.

I am very grateful to my wife (Zsuzsanna Horváth-Tatár) and sons (Loránd Horváth, Sebestyén Lénárd Horváth) who ensured the ideal, quiet and familiar atmosphere, which was one of the most important prerequisites of my work.

Last, but not least, I am also very much indebted to Springer-Verlag, especially to William Lamsback, Kate Lazaro and Vishnu Prakash, who initiated the publication of this book and provided the editors and co-authors with valuable advice and technical support while preparing the manuscript. I also thank Radha Lakshmanan for her continuous help during the production and proofing of this volume.

References

- Bernard GD, Wehner R (1977) Functional similarities between polarization vision and color vision. *Vis Res* 17:1019–1028
- Foster JJ, Temple SE, How MJ, Daly IM, Sharkey CR, Wilby D, Roberts NW (2018) Polarisation vision: overcoming challenges of working with a property of light we barely see. *Sci Nat (Naturwissenschaften)* 105:1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-018-1551-3>
- Heinloth T, Uhlhorn J, Wernet MF (2018) Insect responses to linearly polarized reflections: orphan behaviors without neural circuits. *Front Cell Neurosci* 12:50. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2018.00050>
- Horváth G (ed) (2014) Polarized light and polarization vision in animal sciences, 2nd edn, vol 2. Springer series in vision research (series editors: Shaun P. Collin, Justin N. Marshall). Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg/Berlin/Dordrecht/London/New York, p 649. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-54718-8>. printBook ISBN: 978-3-642-54717-1, eBook ISBN: 978-3-642-54718-8
- Horváth G, Varjú D (2004) Polarized light in animal vision – polarization patterns in nature. Springer, Heidelberg/Berlin/New York, p 447. ISBN: 3-540-40457-0
- Sabbah S, Lerner A, Erlick C, Shashar N (2005) Under water polarization vision – a physical examination. *Recent Res Dev Exp Theor Biol* 1:1–54
- Shabayek AER, Morel O, Fofi D (2018) Chapter 17: Polarization based visual behavior in the animal kingdom. In: Computer vision: concepts, methodologies, tools, and applications. Series: Bio-inspired polarization vision techniques for robotics applications. Information Resources Management Association, IGI Global, pp 421–457. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-5204-8.ch017>
- Wehner R (2014) Chapter 1. Polarization vision: a discovery story. In: Horváth G (ed) Polarized light and polarization vision in animal sciences. Springer, Heidelberg/Berlin/New York, pp 3–26
- Wehner R, Labhart T (2006) Polarisation vision. In: Warrant EJ, Nilsson DE (eds) Invertebrate vision. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 291–348
- Yadav P, Shein-Idelson M (2021) Polarization vision in invertebrates: beyond the boundaries of navigation. *Curr Opin Insect Sci* 48:50–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2021.09.005>

Contents

Part I Polarization Sensitivity and Vision

1	Polarization Sensitivity in the Vinegar Fly, <i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>	3
	Solenne M. Puisais, Aleksandra Simdianova, and Mathias F. Wernet	
1.1	Introduction and Background	4
1.2	The Importance of Polarized Light for Heading Selection	5
1.3	The Structure and Function of the <i>Drosophila</i> Dorsal Rim Area	7
1.4	The Anterior Visual Pathway Connecting the Dorsal Rim Area and Central Complex	11
1.5	Summary and Outlook	13
	References	13
2	Polarization Sensitivity in Bees (Apoidea)	19
	James Foster	
2.1	Introduction	20
2.2	Ocular Adaptations for Polarization Sensitivity	20
	2.2.1 Optical Specializations	20
	2.2.2 Photoreceptor Specializations	22
2.3	Polarization-Sensitive Behaviours	24
	2.3.1 The Waggle Dance	24
	2.3.2 Path Integration While Flying	29
	2.3.3 Path Integration While Walking	30
	2.3.4 Appetitive Learning	32
2.4	Future Directions	34
	2.4.1 Species-Specific Adaptations	34
	2.4.2 Beyond DRA-Supported Navigation	35
	References	36

3 Polarization Vision and Orientation in Ball-Rolling Dung Beetles 39
 Marie Dacke and Basil el Jundi

3.1 Steering Towards an Unknown Goal 40

3.2 Habitat-Dependent Polarization Orientation 40

3.3 Dynamic Interplay of Directional Cues 42

3.4 Nocturnal Beetles Extract Directional Information from the Lunar Sky Polarization Pattern 43

3.5 Time-of-Day-Dependent Spectral Sensitivities in the DRA Photoreceptors 43

3.6 The Relative Use of Polarized Light for Orientation Is a Dynamic Process 45

3.7 Polarization Vision Pathway from the Eye to the Brain. 45

3.8 Encoding Polarization Information in the Dung Beetle Central Complex 48

3.9 Combining Polarization Information with Other Directional Information 49

References. 50

4 Polarization Sensitivity in Desert Locusts, *Schistocerca gregaria*. 53
 Uwe Homberg

4.1 Introduction 54

4.2 Behavioural Evidence of Celestial Polarization Sensitivity (PS) 55

4.3 Photoreceptor Mechanisms 56

4.4 Central Processing of Celestial Polarized Light 57

4.5 The Central Complex (CX) 59

4.5.1 Sky Compass Signalling in the Central Complex 60

4.5.2 Integration of Optic Flow and Other Visual Cues 64

4.6 Sensitivity to Non-Celestial Linearly Polarized Light. 65

4.7 Conclusions and Future Directions 67

References. 69

5 Polarization Sensitivity in Butterflies 73
 Gregor Belušič and Basil el Jundi

5.1 Photoreceptors Mediating Non-Celestial Polarization Vision in Butterflies. 74

5.2 Possible Configuration for Non-Celestial Polarization Analysers 77

5.3 Coding of Celestial Polarization Signals in Butterflies 79

5.4 Polarization-Sensitive Neurons in the Butterfly Brain. 81

5.5 Combining Celestial Polarized and Unpolarized Light Signals 82

5.6 Conclusions 83

References. 84

6	Polarization Sensitivity in Tabanid Flies.	89
	Gregor Belušič	
6.1	Non-Celestial Polarization Vision in Horseflies	90
6.2	Physiological Properties of the Horsefly Visual System	90
6.3	Anatomical Substrate.	91
6.4	Spectral Sensitivity	92
6.5	Behavioural Testing	94
6.6	Implications of the Retinal Substrate for Polarization Vision	95
	References.	95
7	Horsefly Polarotaxis	99
	Gábor Horváth	
7.1	Seasonality and Daily Activity of Male and Female Horseflies Monitored by Polarization and Canopy Traps	100
7.1.1	Tabanid Seasonality	101
7.1.2	Number of Horseflies in the Course of the Day.	104
7.2	Weather-Dependent Flight Activity of Polarotactic Horseflies Monitored by Polarization and Canopy Traps	107
7.3	Horseflies Need Polarization Sensitivity for Host Detection.	111
7.4	Striped Bodypaintings Protect Against Horseflies.	120
7.5	Horsefly Reactions to Black Tilted Polarizing Surfaces	128
7.6	Water Collection by Flying Polarotactic Horseflies.	136
7.7	Horseflies Attracted to Vertical Grey and Black-Grey Striped Surfaces: Stripes Repel Tabanids Independent of their Width and Darkness	139
7.8	Erroneous Measurements and/or Interpretations of Reflection Polarization of Striped Patterns with Implications for Polarotactic Reactions of Horseflies to Zebra Stripes	147
7.8.1	Brewster's Angle and Linear Polarization of Light Reflected from Dark and Bright Surfaces Versus the Angle of Reflection	148
7.8.2	Imaging Polarimetry of Black, Grey, Brown, White and Striped Surfaces	154
7.8.3	Spectral and Polarizing Characteristics of Zebra Hides	160
7.8.4	Erroneous Measurements and/or Interpretations of the Polarization of Zebra Stripes.	163
	References.	173
8	Circular Polarization Insensitivity in Scarab Beetles with Circularly Polarizing Exocuticle.	181
	Gábor Horváth	
8.1	Circular Polarization Insensitivity in <i>Anomala corpulenta</i> Scarab Beetles	182
8.2	Left-Circularly Polarized Light and Mating Behaviour in <i>Anomala corpulenta</i>	184
8.2.1	Criticism of the Interpretation of Results.	185
	References.	185

9	Polarization Sensitivity and Polarotaxis in Springtails (Collembola)	187
	Ádám Egri, György Kriska, Gábor Horváth, and Victor Benno Meyer-Rochow	
9.1	The Eyes of Springtails and Organization of their Photoreceptive Cells	188
9.2	Polarization Sensitivity and Polarotaxis in <i>Podura aquatica</i> Springtail	193
9.3	Spectral Sensitivity of Phototaxis and Polarotaxis in <i>Podura</i> <i>aquatica</i>	199
	References	201
10	Polarization Sensitivity, Polarotaxis and Polarization-Elicited Optomotor Response in Yellow Fever Mosquitoes (<i>Aedes aegypti</i>) . . .	203
	Gábor Horváth	
10.1	Polarization-Induced Optomotor Reactions in <i>Aedes aegypti</i>	204
	References	207
11	Polarotaxis in Mayflies	209
	Gábor Horváth	
11.1	Unattractiveness of Non-horizontal Polarization to Mayflies Helps to Avoid Unsuitable Habitats	210
	References	216
12	Polarization Sensitivity and Polarotaxis in Odonates (Dragonflies and Damselflies)	219
	Gábor Horváth	
12.1	Polarization-Elicited Optomotor Response in <i>Anax imperator</i> Dragonfly Larvae	220
12.2	Polarotactic Habitat Selection in Tropical Dragonflies and Damselflies	222
	References	224
13	Polarization Vision of Crustaceans	227
	Rickesh N. Patel and Jan M. Hemmi	
13.1	Introduction	228
13.2	The Structural Basis of Polarization Sensitivity	228
13.3	Object-Based Polarization Vision	232
13.3.1	The Null Point Problem	233
13.3.2	Processing of Polarization Information	234
13.4	Orientation and Navigation Utilizing Polarized Light	237
13.4.1	Celestial Polarization Patterns	237
13.4.2	Underwater Polarized Background Space Light	241
13.5	Polarization Signalling	242
13.6	Conclusions	245
	References	246

14 Polarization Sensitivity in Cephalopods—Cuttlefish as Model Animals 253
 Maaian Edery-Lutri and Nadav Shashar

14.1 Introduction 254

14.2 Physiology of Polarization Sensitivity and Retinal Organization 254

14.3 Functions of Polarization Sensitivity 255

14.4 Predator/Prey Detection and Recognition 255

14.5 Contrast Enhancement and Noise Reduction 256

14.6 Ontogeny of Polarization Sensitivity 257

14.7 Navigation 258

14.8 Communication and Camouflage 258

14.9 Outlook 259

References 260

15 Polarization Sensitivity in Fishes 263
 Gábor Horváth and Amit Lerner

15.1 Polarization Sensitivity in Coral Reef Fish Post-Larvae, *Premnas biaculeatus* 264

15.2 Polarization-Sensitive Optomotor Response in the Planktivorous Fish, *Atherinomorus forskalii* 267

References 269

16 Polarization Sensitivity in Amphibians: An Update 271
 Victor Benno Meyer-Rochow

16.1 Introduction 271

16.2 Photoreception in Amphibians 273

16.3 The Pineal Complex 275

16.4 Use of Polarization Sensitivity in Orientation 276

16.5 Possible Additional Uses of Polarization Sensitivity 279

16.6 Polarization Sensitivity and Magnetoreception: Possible Mechanisms 280

References 283

17 Polarization Sensitivity in Reptiles: An Update 287
 Victor Benno Meyer-Rochow

17.1 Introduction 288

17.2 Possible Use of Polarization Sensitivity in Reptilian Migrators 288

17.3 Polarization Sensitivity in Crocodiles, Lizards and Snakes 291

17.4 Photoreception and Photoreceptors in Reptiles 293

17.5 The Mechanism Underlying Polarization Sensitivity in Reptiles 295

References 296

18 Polarization Vision in Birds 299
 Susanne Åkesson

18.1 Conditioning Experiments to Study Polarization Vision
 in Birds. 300

18.2 Cue-Conflict Experiments and Compass Calibrations
 in Songbirds 301

References. 303

19 Polarization Sensitivity and Insensitivity in Bats 307
 Gábor Horváth

19.1 Polarization Sensitivity in Non-migratory Greater
 Mouse-Eared Bats (*Myotis myotis*) 307

19.2 Polarization Insensitivity in Migratory Nathusius’ Bats
 (*Pipistrellus nathusii*). 310

References. 312

20 Polarization Insensitivity in Harbour Seals (*Phoca vitulina*) 313
 Gábor Horváth

References. 316

21 Human Polarization Sensitivity: An Update 317
 Shelby Temple and Gary Misson

21.1 Introduction: Brief History of Haidinger’s Brushes. 318

21.2 Anatomical Context 320

21.3 Haidinger’s Brushes and Related Phenomena 322

21.3.1 Perception of Uniform Polarized Stimuli: Haidinger’s
 Brushes. 322

21.3.2 Perception of Non-uniform Polarized Stimuli:
 Polarization Pattern Perception 326

21.3.3 Objective Measurement of Polarization Stimuli 328

21.3.4 Mechanisms: Radial Diattenuation, Macular Pigment
 and Adaptation 328

21.3.5 Transformation of Polarized Light by the Eye:
 Corneal Retardation 333

21.3.6 Haidinger’s Brushes: Summary 334

21.4 Boehm’s Brushes 335

21.5 Polarization Entoptic Phenomena of Undefined Origin. 337

21.6 Clinical Applications 337

21.6.1 Disease Detection, Diagnosis and Monitoring. 338

21.6.2 Biometric Measurement of Macular Pigment 339

21.6.3 Diagnosis and Treatment of Disorders of Ocular
 Fixation 340

21.7 Adaptive Significance of Human Polarization Sensitivity. 340

21.8 The Future 341

References. 341

22 Vision of Trilobites and Polarized Light 347
 Brigitte Schoenemann, Hugo J. W. M. Hoekstra, Gábor Horváth,
 and Euan N. K. Clarkson

22.1 Trilobites 348

22.2 Trilobite Eyes and Their Functioning 350

 22.2.1 Holochroal Trilobite Eyes 355

 22.2.2 Schizochroal Trilobite Eyes 356

 22.2.3 Central Core in Schizochroal Lenses 358

22.3 Original Structure and Diagenetic Changes in the Lens Array . . . 363

22.4 Polarized Light and the Vision of Trilobites 366

22.5 Median Eyes in Trilobites 367

22.6 Fluorescence of Calcite Lenses 369

22.7 Influence of Calcite Birefringence on the Image Formed
 by Trilobite Lenses 373

Appendix: Computation of Splitting and Distortions of Images
 Formed by Birefringent Calcite Lenses in Schizochroal
 Trilobite Eyes in Relation to the Polarization State
 of the Incoming Light 378

 A.1 Theory 378

 A.2 Computational Results 392

References 398

**Part II Environmental Polarization with Implications to Polarization
 Sensitivity and Vision**

23 Polarization Signals in Crustaceans and Insects 407
 Katelynn Csanadi-Schwartz

23.1 Introduction 408

23.2 Polarization Sensitivity and Vision 408

23.3 Signals and Animal Communication 409

23.4 Crustaceans 411

 23.4.1 Stomatopods 411

 23.4.2 Fiddler Crabs 418

 23.4.3 Copepods 420

23.5 Insects 421

 23.5.1 Butterflies 421

 23.5.2 Beetles 425

 23.5.3 Dipterans 428

 23.5.4 Odonates 428

23.6 Outlook 429

References 429

24 Reflection-Polarization Characteristics of Water Surfaces 437
 Gábor Horváth

24.1 Brewster’s Dark Patch of the Water Surface 438

24.1.1 Polarization Optics of the Brewster’s Dark Patch 438

24.1.2 Paintings with a Possible Brewster’s Dark Patch. 447

24.1.3 Brewster’s Dark Patch and Possible Detection
 of Underwater Prey by Wading Birds 453

24.2 Drone-Based Imaging Polarimetry of Dark Lake Patches
 from the Viewpoint of Flying Polarotactic Insects
 with Ecological Implications 457

24.3 Ecological Advantage of Dark Lake Patches for Polarotactic
 Non-biting Midges (Chironomidae) 466

24.4 Long Exposures of Sequential Imaging Polarimetry
 to Minimize Polarization Blurs of Wavy Water Surfaces 471

References. 474

**25 Polarized Light Pollution and Ecological/Evolutionary
 Traps Induced by It for Polarotactic Aquatic Insects 477**
 Gábor Horváth

25.1 Tree Resin as the Most Ancient Source of Polarized Light
 Pollution: Polarotactic Aquatic Insects Fossilized in Amber. 479

25.2 Car Surfaces and Solar Panels 486

25.2.1 Janus-Faced Matt Black Car Surfaces: Mattness
 of Paintwork Can Reduce or Enhance Polarized
 Light Pollution 486

25.2.2 Polarized Light Pollution of Matt Solar Panels 491

25.2.3 Bioreplicated, Rose-Petal-Mimicking Matt Coating
 of Solar Panels Drastically Reduces Polarized Light
 Pollution. 496

25.2.4 How White Orthogonal Grid Lines Reduce Polarized
 Light Pollution 501

25.3 Polarized Light Pollution of Lamplit Bridges
 for Night-Swarming Polarotactic *Ephoron virgo* Mayflies 503

25.3.1 Lamplit Bridges as Dual Light-Traps for *Ephoron
 virgo* Due to Simultaneous Photo- and Polaropollution 503

25.3.2 Downstream-Facing Unpolarized Beacon Lights
 of Lamplit Bridges Can Protect Night-Swarming
Ephoron virgo 511

25.4 Asphalt Roads Versus Mayflies and Stoneflies 514

25.4.1 How Asphalt Roads Extend the Range of Polarized
 Light Pollution for Mayflies 514

25.4.2 Polarized Light Pollution of Asphalt Roads
 for *Perla abdominalis* Stoneflies 519

25.5 Dragonflies and Damselflies as Victims of Polarized Light
 Pollution. 522

25.5.1 Visual Deception in Oviposition Site Selection of *Bradinopyga geminata* Dragonflies 522

25.5.2 Atypical Egg-Laying by an *Anax imperator* Dragonfly onto a Wet Wood Surface 523

25.6 Impact of a Polarized-Light-Polluting Oil Spill on Horseflies 524

25.7 Ecological/Evolutionary Traps for Aquatic Insects 526

25.7.1 Responses of Nocturnal Aquatic and Terrestrial Insects to Unpolarized White Light and Horizontally Polarized Reflected Light. 526

25.7.2 Susceptibility of Polarotactic Aquatic Insects to Polarized Light Pollution. 531

25.7.3 Nocturnal Polarized Light Pollution Versus the Spectrum of Illumination 532

25.7.4 Ultraviolet Polarized Light Pollution and Evolutionary Traps for Aquatic Insects 537

25.8 Glass Surfaces 540

25.8.1 Atypical Feeding of Woodpeckers, Crows and Redstarts on Mass-Swarming Polarotactic *Hydropsyche pellucidula* Caddisflies Attracted to Glass Panes. 540

25.8.2 Hypothetic Role of Polarization of Glass-Reflected Light in Bird-Building Collisions 544

References. 552

Part III Celestial Viking Navigation

26 Sky-Polarimetric Viking Navigation: An Extended Update 563

Gábor Horváth

26.1 Vikings and Their Hypothetical Sky-Polarimetric Navigation 565

26.1.1 Modern Sky-Polarimetric Navigation 567

26.1.2 Medieval Norse Sailing Routes 568

26.1.3 Climatic Conditions in the Viking Era. 569

26.1.4 Presumed Nature of the Mysterious Sunstones 571

26.1.5 The Viking Sun-Compass 573

26.2 Atmospheric Optical Prerequisites of Sky-Polarimetric Viking Navigation 575

26.2.1 Accuracy of Naked-Eyed Sun Location in Cloudy and Twilight Skies 576

26.2.2 Polarization of Clear, Cloudy, Overcast and Foggy Skies from the Viewpoint of Sky-Polarimetric Viking Navigation (SPVN) 583

26.2.3 Polarization Transition Between Sunlit and Moonlit Twilight Skies with Possible Implications for Animal and Viking Navigation 590

26.2.4 Celestial Polarization Sufficient for Viking Navigation with Haidinger’s Brushes Versus Sky Conditions 604

26.3	Error Functions of the Four Main Steps of Sky-Polarimetric Viking Navigation (SPVN)	611
26.3.1	The Hypothetic Four Steps of Sky-Polarimetric Viking Navigation	611
26.3.2	Errors of Sunstone Adjustment in Step 1 of SPVN	614
26.3.3	Errors of Sun Location in Step 2 of SPVN	623
26.3.4	Errors of Solar Elevation Estimation in Step 3 of SPVN	628
26.3.5	Errors of Sun Azimuth Estimation in Step 4 of SPVN	632
26.3.6	Error Propagation in SPVN: Navigation Accuracy Versus Sky Conditions	634
26.4	Sailing Success of Viking Navigation	646
26.4.1	Sailing Success of Sky-Polarimetric Viking Navigation: Revealing the Chance Vikings Could Reach Greenland from Norway	646
26.4.2	Sensitivity and Robustness of Sky-Polarimetric Viking Navigation	653
26.4.3	Sailing Success of Four Viking Navigation Methods	664
26.5	Orientation with a Viking Sun-Compass, a Shadow-Stick and Two Calcite Sunstones Under Different Sky Conditions	679
26.6	Alternative Interpretations of the Viking Uunartoq Artefact Fragment	695
26.6.1	Instrument to Determine Latitude and Local Noon	695
26.6.2	Twilight Board: How the Viking Sun-Compass Could Be Used with Sunstones Before and After Sunset?	707
26.7	Atmospheric-Optical Phenomena as Possible Alternative Navigation Cues for Vikings	728
	References	730

Part IV Astronomical Polarization

27	Polarization of Sunlit and Moonlit Skies, Eclipse Skies, Solar Coronas and Kordylewski Dust Clouds	741
	Gábor Horváth and Judit Slíz-Balogh	
27.1	Introduction	741
27.2	Sky-Polarimetric Corroboration of the Resolution of the UV-Sky-Pol Paradox of Insect Celestial Polarization Sensitivity	743
27.3	Night Sky Polarization at Super Blue Blood Moon During a Total Lunar Eclipse	746
27.4	Polarization of the Sky, Solar Corona and Lunar Disc During Total Solar Eclipses	748

- 27.4.1 Polarization Neutral Point Pairs of the Solar Corona and the Lunar Disc During the Total Solar Eclipse on 11 August 1999 in Kecel (Hungary) 749
- 27.4.2 Polarization Imaging of the Moon Disc at the Total Solar Eclipse on 21 August 2017 in Madras (Oregon, USA) 754
- 27.4.3 Polarization Imaging of the Sky During the Total Solar Eclipse on 21 August 2017 in Rexburg (Idaho, USA) 757
- 27.4.4 Polarization Neutral Points of the Sky and Solar Corona During the Total Solar Eclipse on 21 August 2017 in Rexburg (Idaho, USA) 761
- 27.5 Polarization Optics of the Kordylewski Dust Clouds in Lagrange Points L4 and L5 of the Earth–Moon System 765
 - 27.5.1 Imaging Polarimetry of the L5 Kordylewski Dust Cloud 765
 - 27.5.2 Imaging Polarimetry of the L4 Kordylewski Dust Cloud 770
- References 773
- 28 Astronomical Polarimetry 779**
 - Dmitry Vorobiev
 - 28.1 Revealing Geometries from the Micron to Parsec Scales 780
 - 28.2 Dust on Atmosphereless Bodies in the Solar System 782
 - 28.2.1 Dust on the Moon 782
 - 28.2.2 Polarimetry of Comets 783
 - 28.2.3 Observations of Comet C/2012 S1 (ISON) 785
 - 28.2.4 Observations of Comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko 787
 - 28.3 The Atmospheres of Stars and the Circumstellar Medium 788
 - 28.3.1 Detection of Polarization Due to Cloud Bands in the Nearby Luhman 16 Brown Dwarf Binary 789
 - 28.3.2 Atmospheres and Circumstellar Medium of Red Supergiant Stars 790
 - 28.3.3 Inner Dusty Envelope of the AGB Stars W Hydrae, SW Virginis and R Crateris 796
 - 28.3.4 A Geometric Determination of Distance of Cepheid RS Puppis from Polarimetric Imaging of Its Light Echoes 797
 - 28.4 Magnetic Fields in Molecular Clouds 799
 - 28.4.1 Towards an Understanding of Dust Grain Alignment 801
 - 28.4.2 Magnetic Fields in the Orion Molecular Cloud 802
 - 28.4.3 Observations of the Vela C Giant Molecular Cloud with BLASTPol 804
 - 28.5 Disks and Sub-Stellar Companions Around Young Stars 804
 - 28.5.1 Disks Around T Tauri Stars 807

- 28.5.2 Structure in the Disk of Herbig Ae Star HD 100453 808
- 28.5.3 Observations of the Disk and Planetary Companion
of Beta Pictoris 809
- 28.5.4 A GPI Survey of Debris Disks 811
- 28.6 High-Energy Polarimetry of Stellar Remnants 812
 - 28.6.1 Gamma and X-Ray Polarimetry of the Black Hole
Binary System Cygnus X-1 813
 - 28.6.2 Polarized X-Rays from a Magnetar 814
 - 28.6.3 Geometry of the X-Ray Pulsar Hercules X-1
with IXPE Polarimetry 817
- 28.7 Supermassive Black Holes 818
 - 28.7.1 Polarimetry of BL Lacertae and Other Blazars 818
 - 28.7.2 Polarimetry of the Accretion Disk Around
the SMBH in M87* with the Event Horizon Telescope 822
- References 825
- Index** 831

Editor and Contributors

About the Editor

Gábor Horváth was born in 1963 in Kiskunhalas, Hungary. In 1987 he received his diploma in physics from the Eötvös Loránd University in Budapest. Then he was a research assistant at the Department of Low Temperature Physics of the same university, where he investigated electrical percolation processes in granular superconductors. In 1989 he received a doctoral fellowship in the Biophysics Group of the Central Research Institute for Physics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (Budapest), where he developed a mathematical description and computer modeling of retinal cometlike afterimages. He obtained his Ph.D. at the Eötvös University in 1991. His thesis in physiological optics was a computational study of the visual system and optical environment of certain animals. In 1991–1992 he was a postdoctoral fellow in the Institute for Zoology of the University of Regensburg (Germany), where together with Professor Rudolf Schwind he studied the polarization patterns of skylight reflected from water surfaces and the polarotaxis of aquatic insects. Then he was a postdoctoral fellow at the Department for Biological Cybernetics of the University of Tübingen (Germany), where he measured natural polarization patterns and investigated the polarization-sensitive optomotor reaction in water insects together with Professor Dezső Varjú. In 1993 he finished his postdoctoral dissertation in computational visual optics to obtain the degree Candidate for Biophysical Science awarded by the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. For this treatise he won the first International Dennis Gabor Award. Between 1996 and 2018 he was an associate professor, and from 2018 he is a professor of biophysics and leader of the Environmental Optics Laboratory at the Department of Biological Physics of the Eötvös University. From 2022 he is the leader of the Astropolarimetric Research Group of the HUN-REN-ELTE Hungarian Research Network in cooperation with the Eötvös University. His main research interests are the optics of animal eyes and the visual environment, animal polarization sensitivity, polarization characteristics of the optical environment as well as various biomechanical problems. He designed imaging polarimeters with which he records and visualizes the polarization patterns in nature. He participated on several expeditions and polarimetric measuring campaigns in Hungary as well as in the Tunisian and Namibian deserts, Finnish Lapland, North Pole, and on the Atlantic Ocean. He was three times a Humboldt research

fellow in the Universities of Tübingen and Regensburg. He wrote his first Springer monograph (2004) about polarization vision in Tübingen with Dezső Varjú. He edited and finished the second Springer monograph (2014) dealing with polarization in Regensburg. He won several Hungarian and international prizes and awards for his scientific achievements in biological optics.

Contributors

Susanne Åkesson Department of Biology, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

Gregor Belušič Department of Biology, Biotechnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Euan N. K. Clarkson Grant Institute, School of Geoscience, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

Katelynn Csanadi-Schwartz University of Massachusetts Amherst, Amherst, MA, USA

Marie Dacke Department of Biology, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

Maaian Edery-Lutri Marine Biology and Biotechnology Program, Department of Life Sciences, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Eilat, Israel

The Interuniversity Institute for Marine Science, Eilat, Israel

Ádám Egri MTA-Ö-K Lendület Momentum Fluvial Ecology Research Group, HUN-REN Centre for Ecological Research, Institute of Aquatic Ecology, Budapest, Hungary

Basil el Jundi Department of Biology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

James Foster Neurobiology & Centre for the Advanced Study of Collective Behaviour, University of Konstanz, Konstanz, Germany

Jan M. Hemmi School of Biological Sciences, UWA Oceans Institute, Perth, WA, Australia

Hugo J. W. M. Hoekstra Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands

Uwe Homberg Department of Biology, Animal Physiology, University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany

Center for Mind Brain and Behavior, University of Marburg and Justus Liebig University Giessen, Marburg, Germany

Gábor Horváth Environmental Optics Laboratory, Department of Biological Physics, Physical and Astronomical Institute, ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

Astropolarimetry Research Group, HUN-REN-ELTE Research Network, Budapest, Hungary

György Kriska MTA-Ö-K Lendület Momentum Fluvial Ecology Research Group, HUN-REN Centre for Ecological Research, Institute of Aquatic Ecology, Budapest, Hungary

Biological Institute, ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

Amit Lerner Expert of Underwater Polarization and Animal Polarization Vision, Hadror 13 Binyamina, Israel

Victor Benno Meyer-Rochow Department of Ecology and Genetics, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland

Gary Misson School of Optometry, College of Life & Health Sciences, Aston University, Birmingham, UK

Rickesh N. Patel Lund Vision Group, Lund University, Department of Biology, Lund, Sweden

Solenne M. Puisais Fachbereich Biologie, Chemie & Pharmazie, Freie Universität Berlin, Institut für Biologie – Neurobiologie, Berlin, Germany

Brigitte Schoenemann Department of Zoology, Neurophysiology/Animal Physiology, University of Cologne, Köln, Germany

Nadav Shashar Marine Biology and Biotechnology Program, Department of Life Sciences, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Eilat, Israel

Aleksandra Simdianova Fachbereich Biologie, Chemie & Pharmazie, Freie Universität Berlin, Institut für Biologie – Neurobiologie, Berlin, Germany

Judit Slíz-Balogh Astropolarimetry Research Group, HUN-REN-ELTE Research Network, Budapest, Hungary

Department of Astronomy, Physical and Astronomical Institute, ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

Shelby Temple School of Optometry, College of Life & Health Sciences, Aston University, Birmingham, UK

School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

Dmitry Vorobiev Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, Boulder, CO, USA

Mathias F. Wernet Fachbereich Biologie, Chemie & Pharmazie, Freie Universität Berlin, Institut für Biologie – Neurobiologie, Berlin, Germany